



ELSEVIER

Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

PHYSICS LETTERS B

Physics Letters B 550 (2002) 213–219

[www.elsevier.com/locate/npe](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/npe)

# AdS dual of the critical $O(N)$ vector model

I.R. Klebanov, A.M. Polyakov

*Joseph Henry Laboratories, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA*

Received 23 October 2002; accepted 5 November 2002

Editor: M. Cvetič

## Abstract

We suggest a general relation between theories of infinite number of higher-spin massless gauge fields in  $AdS_{d+1}$  and large  $N$  conformal theories in  $d$  dimensions containing  $N$ -component vector fields. In particular, we propose that the singlet sector of the well-known critical 3-d  $O(N)$  model with the  $(\phi^a \phi^a)^2$  interaction is dual, in the large  $N$  limit, to the minimal bosonic theory in  $AdS_4$  containing massless gauge fields of even spin.

© 2002 Published by Elsevier Science B.V. Open access under [CC BY license](#).

## 1. Introduction

It has long been anticipated that there exist exact dualities between large  $N$  field theories and strings [1,2]. A gauge theory in  $d$  dimensions is expected to be described by a string background with  $d + 1$  non-compact curved dimensions [2]. The particular cases of this duality that are best understood relate 4-d conformal large  $N$  gauge theories to type IIB strings on  $AdS_5 \times X_5$ , where  $X_5$  is a compact 5-d Einstein space [3–5]. For large 't Hooft coupling  $g_{YM}^2 N$  many gauge theory observables may be calculated using the supergravity approximation to this string theory.

For general 't Hooft coupling this duality is still far from being understood completely. One of the reasons is that it relates two very complicated theories. It is of some interest, therefore, to look for simpler models or simpler limits realizing the AdS/CFT correspondence.

In this Letter we try to do just that. We consider the large  $N$  limit of the  $(\phi^a \phi^a)^2$  theory in 3-d space where  $\phi^a$  is an  $N$ -component scalar field transforming in the fundamental representation of  $O(N)$ . It is well-known that this theory, which describes critical points of  $O(N)$  magnets, is conformal [6,7]. We conjecture that it has a dual  $AdS_4$  description in terms of a theory with infinite number of massless higher-spin gauge fields. Study of such theories has been going on for many years. After the early work of Fronsda [8], Fradkin and Vasiliev [9] formulated an interacting theory of infinitely many such fields in  $AdS_4$ . Since then these theories were studied and generalized in a variety of ways (for a review, see [10]).

After the AdS/CFT correspondence was formulated, new ideas emerged on the manifestation of the infinite number of conservation laws that appear in the weakly coupled field theory [11–18]. Generally, it is expected that a conserved current in the boundary theory corresponds to a massless gauge field in the bulk [19]. In particular, it was proposed that the massless higher-spin gauge theory with  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  supersymme-

*E-mail addresses:* [klebanov@princeton.edu](mailto:klebanov@princeton.edu) (I.R. Klebanov), [polyakov@princeton.edu](mailto:polyakov@princeton.edu) (A.M. Polyakov).

try in  $AdS_5$  is closely related to the free  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  large  $N$  SYM theory [11,12,15,16,18]. This free theory has an infinite number of conserved currents of increasing spin, which are bilinears of the form<sup>1</sup>

$$J_{(\mu_1 \dots \mu_s)} = \sum_{i=1}^6 \text{Tr} \Phi^i \nabla_{(\mu_1} \dots \nabla_{\mu_s)} \Phi^i + \dots, \quad (1)$$

where  $\Phi^i$  are the six scalar fields in the adjoint representation of  $SU(N)$ . These currents are expected to be dual to the massless gauge fields in  $AdS_5$  [11,12,15,16,18].

The correspondence between free CFT's of matrix-valued fields and higher-spin massless gauge theories, suggested in [11–16,18] and recently further developed in [20], is a remarkable conjecture, and we will make use of a similar statement for free vector-valued fields in Section 2. There is an essential difference, however, between the adjoint and the fundamental interacting fields. In the adjoint case there is an exponentially growing number of single-particle states in AdS corresponding to single-trace operators of schematic form

$$\text{Tr}[\Phi \nabla^{l_1} \Phi \nabla^{l_2} \Phi \dots \nabla^{l_k} \Phi]. \quad (2)$$

For any non-zero Yang–Mills coupling, operator products of the currents bilinear in the adjoint fields contain the whole zoo of such more complicated operators. Theories of Fradkin–Vasiliev type do not contain enough fields in AdS to account for all operators of this type. Hence, only an appropriate generalization of the  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  supersymmetric Fradkin–Vasiliev theory in  $AdS_5$ , with an infinite class of fields added to it, may be dual to the weakly coupled  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  large  $N$  SYM theory.

In this Letter we point out that theories of Fradkin–Vasiliev type do contain enough fields to be dual to large  $N$  field theories where elementary fields are in the *fundamental* rather than adjoint representation. In this case, the only possible class of “single-trace” operators are  $\phi^a \partial^l \phi^a$  whose number does not grow with the dimension (in contrast to the exponential growth found for adjoint quanta). Effectively, there is only one “Regge trajectory” instead of infinitely

many. This roughly matches the number of fields found in theories of Fradkin–Vasiliev type. Therefore, a massless higher-spin gauge theory in  $AdS_{d+1}$  may capture the dynamics of such singlet currents. A particularly simple picture of this duality appears to emerge for the  $AdS_4$  case which we address in the next section.

## 2. $AdS_4$ and vector theories

Little is known to date about the holographic duals of massless higher-spin gauge theories in  $AdS_4$  which are considerably simpler than those in  $AdS_5$ . In this Letter we propose that they are dual to large  $N$  conformal field theories containing  $N$ -component vector rather than  $N \times N$  matrix fields. The simplest such  $O(N)$  invariant theory is free:

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \sum_{a=1}^N (\partial_\mu \phi^a)^2. \quad (3)$$

This theory has a class of  $O(N)$  singlet conserved currents

$$J_{(\mu_1 \dots \mu_s)} = \phi^a \partial_{(\mu_1} \dots \partial_{\mu_s)} \phi^a + \dots. \quad (4)$$

There exists one conserved current for each even spin  $s$ . We note that this spectrum of currents is in one-to-one correspondence with the spectrum of massless higher-spin fields in the minimal bosonic theory in  $AdS_4$ , the one governed by the algebra  $ho(1; 0|4)$  of [21] denoted  $hs(4)$  in [22]. This theory, which contains one massless gauge field for each even spin  $s$ , is a truncation of the bosonic theory containing one massless gauge field for each integer spin, governed by the algebra  $hu(1; 0|4)$  [21,22]. The non-linear action for these fields is also known [21,22] but it is not easy to extract explicit expressions from the existing literature (the cubic interactions should be constrained by the gauge invariance). We would like to conjecture that the correlation functions of the *singlet* currents in the free 3-d theory may be obtained from the bulk action in  $AdS_4$  through the usual AdS/CFT prescription which identifies the boundary values of the fields with sources  $h_0^{(\mu_1 \dots \mu_s)}$  in the dual field theory:

$$\left\langle \exp \int d^3x h_0^{(\mu_1 \dots \mu_s)} J_{(\mu_1 \dots \mu_s)} \right\rangle = e^{S[h_0]}. \quad (5)$$

<sup>1</sup> This formula is schematic; the precise expression may be found, for instance, in [18].

$S[h_0]$  is the action of the high-spin gauge theory in  $AdS_4$  evaluated as a function of the boundary values of the fields. This conjecture is closely related to similar suggestions in [11–16,18,20] on connections between theories of massless higher-spins in  $AdS_{d+1}$  and free fields in  $d$  dimensions.

One-loop diagrams with the fields  $\phi^a$  running around the loop, so they may be evaluated exactly. Calculations are simple in position space where we may use the propagator

$$\langle \phi^a(x_1) \phi^b(x_2) \rangle = \frac{\delta^{ab}}{x_{12}}, \quad (6)$$

where  $x_{12} = |x_1 - x_2|$ . For example, for the correlators of the spin zero “current”  $J = \phi^a \phi^a$  we then obtain

$$\langle J(x_1) J(x_2) \rangle \sim \frac{N}{x_{12}^2}, \quad (7)$$

$$\langle J(x_1) J(x_2) J(x_3) \rangle \sim \frac{N}{x_{12} x_{13} x_{23}}, \quad (8)$$

etc. The dimension of  $J$  is 1. The fact that it lies below  $d/2 = 3/2$  reveals a subtlety in building an AdS/CFT correspondence for this field [23]: we have to use the negative branch of the formula for the dimension,

$$\Delta_- = \frac{d}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{d^2}{4} + (mL)^2}, \quad (9)$$

where  $L$  is the radius of  $AdS_4$ . To obtain  $\Delta_- = 1$  in  $d = 3$  we need  $m^2 = -2/L^2$ . This corresponds to a conformally coupled scalar field in  $AdS_4$ . Perhaps this is the correct extension of the definition of masslessness to spin zero. Therefore, up to cubic order, we expect the following effective Lagrangian for a scalar field  $h$  in  $AdS_4$  dual to the scalar current  $J$ :

$$S = \frac{N}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[ (\partial_\mu h)^2 + \frac{1}{6} R h^2 + \alpha h^3 + \dots \right]. \quad (10)$$

Since  $R = -12/L^2$  in  $AdS_4$ , we indeed find  $m^2 = -2/L^2$ .

As explained in [23], the unconventional branch  $\Delta_-$  introduces a subtlety into the procedure for extracting the correlation functions. The correct procedure is to first work out the generating functional  $W[h_0, \dots]$  for correlation functions with the conventional dimension  $\Delta_+$  for the operator dual to  $h$ , and

then to carry out the Legendre transform with respect to the source  $h_0$  [23].

This begs the question: what is the physical meaning of the theory where the operator  $J$  has the conventional dimension  $\Delta_+ = 2$ ? The answer turns out to be interesting: this CFT is the well-known fixed point of the *interacting*  $O(N)$  vector model with the 3-dimensional action

$$S = \int d^3x \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi^a)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2N} (\phi^a \phi^a)^2 \right]. \quad (11)$$

The standard trick for dealing with this interaction is to introduce an auxiliary field  $\sigma(x)$  so that the action assumes the form

$$S = \int d^3x \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi^a)^2 + \sigma \phi^a \phi^a - \frac{N}{2\lambda} \sigma^2 \right]. \quad (12)$$

Now the action is quadratic in the fields  $\phi^a$  and integrating over them one finds the effective action for  $\sigma$ . This provides an efficient way of developing the  $1/N$  expansion [7].

Note that the interaction term may be written as  $\lambda J^2/(2N)$ . This is a vector model analogue of the trace-squared terms which have been recently studied in the AdS/CFT setting [24–27]. In [25] it was shown, using boundary conditions in AdS, that when a *relevant* interaction of this kind is added to the action, then the theory flows from an unstable UV fixed point where  $J$  has dimension  $\Delta_-$  to an IR fixed point where  $J$  has dimension  $\Delta_+$ .<sup>2</sup> In [27] this type of flow was studied in more detail and further evidence for it was provided. The interaction is relevant in the UV because the dimension of operator  $J^2$  is  $2\Delta_- + O(1/N)$ , and from (9) it is clear that  $2\Delta_- < d$ . Similarly, it is clear that the interaction is irrelevant in the IR where the dimension of the operator  $J^2$  is  $2\Delta_+ + O(1/N)$ , and we generally have  $2\Delta_+ > d$ . For this reason the presence of this operator does not produce a line of fixed points.

The flow from a free  $O(N)$  vector model to the interacting model (11) is an example of the general discussion above. In fact, it has been known for many years that, at the IR critical point, the operator  $J$  has dimension  $\Delta_J = 2 + O(1/N)$  [7]. For large  $N$  this

<sup>2</sup> There is an analogous phenomenon in 2-d Liouville gravity: change of the branch of gravitational dressing caused by adding a trace-squared operator to the matrix model action [28].

value coincides with  $\Delta_+$  that is required by the AdS analysis of [25]. Furthermore, this isolated IR fixed point exists not only in the large  $N$  limit, but also for any finite  $N$ . So, in this case the RG flow produced by the addition of operator  $J^2$  is not destabilized by  $1/N$  corrections.

Therefore, we make the following conjecture. Suppose that we start with an action in  $AdS_4$  that describes the minimal bosonic higher-spin gauge theory with even spins only and symmetry group  $ho(1; 0|4) = hs(4)$ . If we apply the standard AdS/CFT methods to this action, using dimension  $\Delta_+$  for all fields, then we find the correlation functions of the singlet currents in the interacting large  $N$  vector model (11) at its IR critical point. A weak test of this conjecture is that the anomalous dimensions of all the currents with spin  $s > 0$  are of order  $1/N$  [7] (for the stress-energy tensor,  $s = 2$ , it is exactly zero), so in the large  $N$  limit they correspond to massless gauge fields in the bulk. For example, all planar 3-point functions of currents with  $s > 0$  are exactly the same in the interacting  $O(N)$  theory as in the free theory. This shows why in the interacting theory all these currents are conserved to leading order in  $N$ .

Another argument in favor of our conjecture is the following. If we Legendre transform the generating functional of the interacting large  $N$  vector model with respect to the source  $h_0$  that couples to  $J$ , then we obtain the generating functional of singlet current correlators in the *free* vector theory. On the AdS side of this duality this statement follows from the rule worked in [23] for operators with dimension  $\Delta_-$ . On the field theory side, the Legendre transform removes the diagrams one-particle reducible with respect to the auxiliary field  $\sigma$ , so that only the free field contributions to the singlet correlators remain.

### 3. Operator products at large $N$

The operator structure at large  $N$  has some unusual features. First of all, we expect that operators come in two types, elementary and composite. In the case of gauge theory they roughly correspond to the single-trace and multi-trace operators (we say “roughly” because in general single- and multi-trace operators mix). In the dual AdS language they correspond to one-particle and multi-particle states.

Let us first clarify why these structures are inevitable at large  $N$ .<sup>3</sup> Consider a set  $\{\Omega_k\}$  of single-trace operators in gauge theory or of singlet bilinears (4) in a vector theory. Let us suppose for a moment that the algebra of such operators closes. Then the standard large  $N$  counting would imply that, in the gauge theory,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_k(x_1)\Omega_l(x_2) \\ \sim N^2 \delta_{kl} x_{12}^{-2\Delta_k} I + f_{klm} x_{12}^{\Delta_m - \Delta_k - \Delta_l} \Omega_m, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

while in the vector theory  $N^2$  is replaced by  $N$ . However, these operator products are clearly inconsistent with contributions of disconnected terms. For example, in the 4-point function we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Omega_k \Omega_l \Omega_m \Omega_n \rangle \\ = \langle \Omega_k \Omega_l \rangle \langle \Omega_m \Omega_n \rangle + \langle \Omega_k \Omega_m \rangle \langle \Omega_l \Omega_n \rangle \\ + \langle \Omega_k \Omega_n \rangle \langle \Omega_l \Omega_m \rangle + \langle \Omega_k \Omega_l \Omega_m \Omega_n \rangle_{\text{conn}}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

In the gauge theory the disconnected terms are of order  $N^4$  while the connected ones are of order  $N^2$ ; in the vector theory the disconnected terms are of order  $N^2$  while the connected ones are of order  $N$ . As we substitute the OPE (13) into the left-hand side of the 4-point function, the unit operator will reproduce the first disconnected term and the remaining  $\Omega_l$  will contribute to the connected term. However, the two remaining disconnected terms representing the unit operators in the cross channels remain unaccounted for!

This forces us to add composite “double-trace” operators  $\Omega_{kl}$  on the right-hand side of the operators products (13). Repeating this argument for higher-point functions, we will see the need for all composite “multi-trace” operators  $\Omega_{k_1 \dots k_n}$ . Their correlation functions are defined so as to reproduce the disconnected contributions to correlators. The crucial difference between the elementary and the composite operators is that the dimensions of the latter are determined by the dimensions of the former, up to  $1/N$  corrections:

$$\Delta(\Omega_{kl}) = \Delta_k + \Delta_l + \frac{1}{N^2} \omega_{kl} + \dots, \quad (15)$$

etc. In the vector model,  $1/N^2$  is replaced by  $1/N$ .

<sup>3</sup> This part of the discussion is closely related to a similar discussion in [29].

Let us briefly describe the structure of the operator algebra in the interacting  $O(N)$  vector model. First of all, as we already mentioned, the operator  $J = \phi^a \phi^a$  has dimension  $\Delta_J = 2 + O(1/N)$ , while in the free theory its dimension would be 1. It is not hard to check that the composite operators  $J^p$  have dimensions

$$\Delta_p = p\Delta_J + O(1/N) \quad (16)$$

in accordance with the general arguments above. In the large  $N$  limit  $\langle J \rangle$  is proportional to the auxiliary field  $\sigma$  used to solve the model [7]. Let us consider the 4-point function

$$\langle J(x_1)J(x_2)J(x_3)J(x_4) \rangle. \quad (17)$$

We first recall that for any 3 conformal primary operators we have the formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle A(x_1)B(x_2)C(x_3) \rangle \\ & \sim \frac{f_{ABC}}{x_{12}^{\Delta_A+\Delta_B-\Delta_C} x_{13}^{\Delta_A+\Delta_C-\Delta_B} x_{23}^{\Delta_B+\Delta_C-\Delta_A}}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

The contribution of operator  $O$  into the 4-point function of  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$  and  $D$  is given by

$$\int d^d x \langle A(x_1)B(x_2)O(x) \rangle \langle \bar{O}(x)C(x_3)D(x_4) \rangle. \quad (19)$$

Here  $O(x)$  is an operator of dimension  $\Delta$  while  $\bar{O}(x)$  is its conjugate of dimension  $\bar{\Delta} = d - \Delta$ . If we take the limit  $x_{12}, x_{34} \rightarrow 0$  to uncover the OPE, we find from this formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle A(x_1)B(x_2)C(x_3)D(x_4) \rangle \\ & \sim \frac{1}{\Delta - \bar{\Delta}} x_{12}^{-\Delta_A-\Delta_B} x_{34}^{-\Delta_C-\Delta_D} \\ & \times \left\{ \left( \frac{x_{12}x_{34}}{x_{13}^2} \right)^\Delta - \left( \frac{x_{12}x_{34}}{x_{13}^2} \right)^{\bar{\Delta}} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The second term is an unwanted contribution of an operator with dimension  $\bar{\Delta}$  to the OPE. The presence of such “shadow” contributions was noted in the context of the  $O(N)$  model in [30] and later on analyzed in the large  $N$  limit in [31,32]. In [30] it was shown using dispersion relations that one can construct a conformal amplitude different from (20). It does not contain the contribution of dimension  $\bar{\Delta}$  but contains terms  $\sim \log(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)$  which originate from the contribution

of composite operators. In [30] the requirement of cancellation of the logarithmic terms between the connected and the disconnected contributions to the correlator gave the bootstrap condition determining anomalous dimensions and structure constants. In AdS calculations of 4-point functions of 1-particle operators, the logarithmic terms of the type described above were found in [33,34]. It is not hard to check that the “unitary amplitude” of [30] and the AdS amplitude have the same form.

In the case of the interacting  $O(N)$  vector model these general considerations simplify greatly. The 4-point function (17) is given by the sum of disconnected pieces, 3 exchange diagrams with an intermediate auxiliary field line, and the box diagram corresponding to the loop of the field  $\phi^a$ . The dimension of  $\phi^a$  at the IR critical point is  $\Delta_\phi = 1/2 + O(1/N)$  [7]. As we take the limit  $x_{12}, x_{34} \rightarrow 0$ , we find that the box diagram behaves as  $(x_{12}x_{34})^{2\Delta_\phi-2\Delta_J} x_{13}^{-4\Delta_\phi}$ . Also, the exchange diagram has an unwanted contribution  $(x_{12}x_{34})^{\bar{\Delta}-2\Delta_J} x_{13}^{-2\bar{\Delta}}$ , where  $\bar{\Delta} = d - \Delta_J = 1 + O(1/N)$ . The correct OPE structure is possible only if these terms cancel each other. Hence, in the large  $N$  limit we must have  $\bar{\Delta} = 2\Delta_\phi$ , which is indeed the case!

Most importantly, the contributions of the higher-spin currents  $J_{(\mu_1 \dots \mu_s)}$  with  $s > 0$  appear from the higher-order terms in the expansion of the box diagram in  $x_{12}$  and  $x_{34}$ . In this way we see explicitly how the presence of the infinite number of higher-spin fields in  $AdS_4$  is necessary to reproduce the OPE of the critical  $O(N)$  vector model. It remains to be seen whether they are precisely related to the  $AdS_4$  theory of [21, 22] via the AdS/CFT correspondence.

Finally, we indicate how the discussion of the 4-point function is modified if we simply consider the free theory of the scalar fields  $\phi^a$ . Then there are no diagrams where the auxiliary field is exchanged, hence no unwanted terms of the form  $(x_{12}x_{34})^{\bar{\Delta}-2\Delta_J} x_{13}^{-2\bar{\Delta}}$  that need to be canceled. The leading term in the box diagram, which is now exactly given by  $(x_{12}x_{23}x_{34} \times x_{41})^{-1}$ , is still of the form  $(x_{12}x_{34})^{2\Delta_\phi-2\Delta_J} x_{13}^{-4\Delta_\phi}$ , but now  $\Delta_J = 2\Delta_\phi = 1$ . Hence, this term correctly reproduces the contribution of operator  $J$  to the OPE. The subleading terms in the expansion of the box diagram correspond to the contribution of the currents  $J_{(\mu_1 \dots \mu_s)}$  with  $s > 0$ .

#### 4. Discussion

There is a number of possible extensions of the duality proposed above. It is not hard to construct a  $U(N)$  invariant theory which contains one singlet current for each integer spin  $s$ . This theory has one complex  $N$ -component field  $\varphi^a$ . Then, in addition to the currents of even spin,

$$J_{(\mu_1 \dots \mu_s)} = \varphi^{*a} \partial_{(\mu_1} \dots \partial_{\mu_s)} \varphi^a + \dots + \text{c.c.}, \quad (21)$$

we find currents of odd spin

$$J_{(\mu_1 \dots \mu_s)} = i \varphi^{*a} \partial_{(\mu_1} \dots \partial_{\mu_s)} \varphi^a + \dots + \text{c.c.} \quad (22)$$

We expect this theory to be dual to the bosonic  $AdS_4$  theory constructed in [21], without the projection that throws away the odd spins. In the classification of [21] this non-minimal bosonic higher-spin algebra is  $hu(1; 0|4)$  while in [22] it was denoted  $hs_0(4; 1)$ .

A theory corresponding to the extended higher-spin algebra  $hu(n; 0|4)$  [21] may be constructed out of  $n$  complex  $N$ -component fields  $\varphi_I^a$ ,  $I = 1, \dots, n$ . Such a theory possesses a set of spin 1 currents in the adjoint representation of  $U(n)$ ,

$$i \varphi_I^{*a} \partial_\mu \varphi_J^a - i (\partial_\mu \varphi_I^{*a}) \varphi_J^a. \quad (23)$$

Therefore, the corresponding bulk  $AdS_4$  theory has  $U(n)$  gauge symmetry. Similarly, higher-spin gauge theory based on the algebra  $ho(n; 0|4)$  [21] has  $O(n)$  gauge symmetry in  $AdS_4$  and should be dual to  $O(N)$  field theory with  $n$  real  $N$ -component scalar fields in  $d = 3$ .

If we supplement the field theory with fermionic  $N$ -component fields, then we also find currents of half-integral spin. Since supersymmetric higher-spin theories in  $AdS_4$  are well-known [10,13,22], it would be interesting to work out the supersymmetric analogues of the duality in detail.

Generalization from  $d = 3$  to  $d = 4 - \epsilon$ . The critical points of vector theories are well-known to exist for  $0 < \epsilon < 2$ . Perhaps there is a sense in which these theories are dual to bulk theories in  $(5 - \epsilon)$ -dimensional AdS space.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank L. Rastelli and E. Witten for useful discussions. I.R.K. is also grateful to the Institute for Ad-

vanced Study for hospitality. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation Grant No. PHY-9802484. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

#### References

- [1] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B 72 (1974) 461.
- [2] A.M. Polyakov, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 68 (1998) 1, hep-th/9711002;  
A.M. Polyakov, hep-th/9809057.
- [3] J. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 231–252, hep-th/9711200.
- [4] S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov, A.M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. B 428 (1998) 105–114, hep-th/9802109.
- [5] E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 253–291, hep-th/9802150.
- [6] E. Brezin, D.J. Wallace, Phys. Rev. B 7 (1973) 1976.
- [7] K.G. Wilson, J. Kogut, Phys. Rep. 12 (1974) 75.
- [8] C. Fronsdal, Phys. Rev. D 18 (1978) 3624.
- [9] E. Fradkin, M. Vasiliev, Phys. Lett. B 189 (1987) 89;  
E. Fradkin, M. Vasiliev, Nucl. Phys. B 291 (1987) 141.
- [10] M.A. Vasiliev, hep-th/9910096.
- [11] P. Haggi-Mani, B. Sundborg, hep-th/0002189;  
B. Sundborg, hep-th/0103247.
- [12] E. Witten, Talk at the John Schwarz 60-th Birthday Symposium, <http://theory.caltech.edu/jhs60/witten/1.html>.
- [13] S. Konstein, M. Vasiliev, V. Zaikin, hep-th/0010239.
- [14] O. Shaynkman, M. Vasiliev, hep-th/0103208.
- [15] E. Sezgin, P. Sundell, hep-th/0105001.
- [16] M. Vasiliev, hep-th/0106149.
- [17] A.M. Polyakov, hep-th/0110196.
- [18] A. Mikhailov, hep-th/0201019.
- [19] S. Ferrara, C. Fronsdal, Phys. Lett. B 433 (1998) 19.
- [20] E. Sezgin, P. Sundell, hep-th/0205131.
- [21] M. Vasiliev, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 5 (1996) 763, hep-th/9611024.
- [22] E. Sezgin, P. Sundell, hep-th/0205132;  
J. Engquist, E. Sezgin, P. Sundell, hep-th/0207101.
- [23] I.R. Klebanov, E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B 556 (1999) 89, hep-th/9905104.
- [24] O. Aharony, M. Berkooz, E. Silverstein, JHEP 0108 (2001) 006, hep-th/0105309.
- [25] E. Witten, hep-th/0112258.
- [26] M. Berkooz, A. Sever, A. Shomer, JHEP 0205 (2002) 034, hep-th/0112264.
- [27] S.S. Gubser, I. Mitra, hep-th/0210093.
- [28] I.R. Klebanov, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 1836, hep-th/9407167;  
I.R. Klebanov, A. Hashimoto, Nucl. Phys. B 434 (1995) 264, hep-th/9409064.
- [29] G. Arutyunov, S. Frolov, A. Petkou, Nucl. Phys. B 586 (2000) 547, hep-th/0005182.

- [30] A.M. Polyakov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 66 (1974) 23.
- [31] K. Lang, W. Ruhl, Nucl. Phys. B 377 (1992) 371;  
K. Lang, W. Ruhl, Nucl. Phys. B 402 (1993) 573.
- [32] A. Petkou, Ann. Phys. 249 (1996) 180, hep-th/9410093.
- [33] E. D'Hoker, D.Z. Freedman, S. Mathur, A. Matusis, L. Rastelli, hep-th/9903196.
- [34] For a review with a comprehensive set of references, see E. D'Hoker, D.Z. Freedman, hep-th/0201253.